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ABSTRACT. In Brazil, most national marine production is captured by artisanal fisheries. The present study was conducted in a traditional trawl fishing area for the capture of the Atlantic seabob shrimp Xiphopenaeus kroyeri in southern Brazil between 1996 and 2015 to obtain initial estimates of direct fuel inputs and greenhouse gas emissions. Data include vessel characteristics, total and seabob shrimp production, and trawl duration. Approximately, four million liters of fuel were consumed for an estimated catch of around 148,000 kg of fish (26.4 l kg⁻¹), of which 19,000 kg were seabob shrimp (206 l kg⁻¹) or 13% of total production. The carbon emitted by this fleet was almost three million gigagrams (GgC), between 401 and 666 t per year. Although the number of vessels has increased over the years, catches, especially of seabob shrimp, have declined sharply, indicating over-exploitation of this resource and reinforcing the urgent need to create management programs and selective technologies for this modality.
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Emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero, consumo e intensidad de uso de combustibles por una flota de arrastre artesanal de doble aparejo en el sur de Brasil

RESUMEN. En Brasil, la mayor parte de la producción marina nacional es capturada por la pesca artesanal. El presente estudio se realizó en un área de pesca de arrastre tradicional para la captura del camarón siete barbas del Atlántico Xiphopenaeus kroyeri en el sur de Brasil entre 1996 y 2015 para obtener estimaciones iniciales de las entradas directas de combustible y las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero. Los datos incluyen las características de las embarcaciones, la producción total y de camarones siete barbas y la duración de los arrastres. Se consumieron aproximadamente cuatro millones de litros de combustible para una captura estimada de alrededor de 148,000 kg de pescado (26,4 l kg⁻¹ capturados), de los cuales 19,000 kg fueron camarones siete barbas (206 l kg⁻¹ capturados) o el 13% de la producción total. El carbono emitido por esta flota fue de casi tres millones de gigagramos (GgC), entre 401 y 666 t anuales. Si bien el número de embarcaciones ha aumentado a lo largo de los años, las capturas, especialmente de camarón siete barbas, han disminuido drásticamente, lo que indica una sobreexplotación de este recurso y refuerza la necesidad urgente de crear programas de manejo y tecnologías selectivas para esta modalidad.
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INTRODUCTION

According to estimates, approximately 60 million people are involved in fishing and aquaculture and around 65% depend directly on fishing for their livelihood (FAO 2020). The capture, processing, and sale of fish from artisanal fisheries play a key role in providing food to the world’s population (Salas et al. 2018). In Brazil, around one million people are directly involved in fishing. Specifically, artisanal fishing historically accounts for a large part of national marine production, thus reinforcing the economic and social importance of this modality (Abdallah and Bacha 1999; Zamboni 2020). However, information related to the fishery tends to be unreliable and fishers rarely participate in its management, which causes a series of problems such as their gradual loss of political and economic representativeness (Salas et al. 2007; Medeiros et al. 2014; Dias Neto and Oliveira Dias 2015; Oliveira Leis et al. 2018).

Since this fishery involves a wide variety of environments and species, its impacts are a growing cause for concern, especially in terms of stock reduction (Garcia and Graiger 2005), changes in ecosystem structure and functioning (Pauly et al. 1998, 2005; Kelleher 2008), changes in the ocean floor (Kaiser et al. 2006; Kaiser 2019), mortality of endangered species (Sales et al. 2010; Fiedler et al. 2012, 2017, 2020), consumption of fossil fuels in navigation and fishing operations (Tyedmers 2004; Tyedmers et al. 2005; Suuronen et al. 2012), and greenhouse gas emissions (Ziegler and Hansson 2003; Fulton 2010; Port et al. 2016). In the last two decades alone, the impact of the two latter factors has served to assess the sustainability of fishing (Tyedmers 2004; Tyedmers and Parker 2012; Jha and Edwin 2019), especially large-scale fisheries in developed countries given the scarcity of data on small-scale or artisanal fisheries in developing countries (Parker et al. 2018).

For 2000, Tyedmers et al. (2005) estimated that fisheries landed approximately 80 million tons of fish, consumed 50 billion liters of oil, which is 1.2% of all oil used worldwide, and released around 130 million tons of CO₂ into the atmosphere. According to Parker et al. (2018), however, fisheries consumed an estimated 40 billion liters and emitted 179 million tons of CO₂. Because the energy for human assimilation by the consumption of this total captured is 1/12 of the energy required for the capture, the efficiency of this activity is generally low. Notably, however, different fishing modalities have different energy performances, that is, they require different levels of fuel consumption for their catch efficiency (Tyedmers 2004; Crowder et al. 2008). In this regard, passive methods (e.g., longline, trap, and gillnet) tend to require less energy than active methods (e.g., trawl, seine net) (Tyedmers et al. 2005; FAO 2007; Schau et al. 2009; Winther et al. 2009).

Demersal and benthic species for consumption are captured worldwide by trawl fisheries (Thurstan et al. 2010). Among other factors, the energy efficiency of this modality is generally deficient mainly due to variable capture patterns, large engine power, and high fuel consumption (Wileman 1984; Tyedmers 2004).

In the state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil, approximately 20 thousand people in 36 coastal municipalities are directly involved in artisanal fishing (PCSPA-SC 2015). In these municipalities, artisanal fishing accounted for 43% of the total landing volume in the state between 2017 and 2019 (PMAP/SC 2020). Moreover, the municipality of Penha is the fifth largest artisanal producer in the state. The fleet of Penha mostly consists of open vessels with an inboard engine and without pilothouse. The most widely used fishing gear are gillnets (fixed and drift net) and double-trawl nets, mainly used to catch coding Urophycis spp., anchovy Pomatomus saltatrix (Linnaeus 1766), catfish Genidens spp., croaker Microplegonias furnieri (Desmarest 1823), weakfish Cynoscion spp, mullet Mugil liza (Valenciennes 1836), and shrimp (PMAP/SC 2020). Of the shrimp, the most frequently captured species is the Atlantic seadob Xiphopenaeus kroyeri (Heller, 1862) with an estimated 177.3 t year⁻¹ (Branco and Verani 2006).

Although artisanal fishing in the municipality has been widely studied (Almeida and Branco 2002; Bail and Branco 2003, 2007; Branco and Fracasso 2004; Branco 2005; Branco and Verani 2006; Branco et al. 2013; Coelho et al. 2016; Acauan et al. 2018a, b; Barrili et al. 2021), little is known about the energy efficiency of shrimp trawling and its real impacts on the marine environment. The present study evaluated for the first time the relationship between fuel consumption and total catches of artisanal trawl fishing, between 1996 and 2015 in a community.
of Armação do Itapocoroy, municipality of Penha, north-central coast of Santa Catarina, Brazil, to support ecosystem management for this activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study area is located in the municipality of Penha (26° 46'S/48° 38'W), north-central coast of the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil (Figure 1). Penha has an estimated population of 30,262 inhabitants and its main activities are tourism, mariculture, and fishing (Branco 2005; IBGE 2017).

Artisanal trawl fishing

In Armação do Itapocoroy beach, 115 artisanal vessels navigate an area of approximately 168 km² and mainly target the Atlantic seabob shrimp *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* (Acauan et al. 2018a). In the present study, trawls were carried out monthly between 1996 and 2015, in the three fishing areas traditionally used by the local fishing community (Figure 1).

The same methodology was used throughout the study period. Moreover, the same double-rig trawler was used and leased from a local fisher, who also drove the vessel in all the trawls.

Two identical nets were used, with 3.0 cm mesh on the wings and 2.0 cm on the body and cod end. The speed of all the trawls was 2.0 knots. For each sampling, three trawls were performed...
for one hour each.

Every catch was selected by the fisher on the deck of the vessel based on their knowledge and daily practice. Species were classified into the following two initial categories: a) nominal catch, which refers to the set of species retained for sale, mainly the seabob shrimp, and b) discarded catch, which are the species returned to the sea because they are not sold or because they are sold but their size is below the minimum allowed size for sale. The nominal catch was separated into the following two categories: a) target species, that is, those that have economic importance, and b) incidental species, which are not feasible for fisheries but can be used for consumption and/or sold in the local market.

The total sample catch (nominal catch + discarded catch) was separated in clearly identified refrigerated boxes. At the laboratory, these samples were selected, and the specimens were subsequently counted, weighed, subjected to biometric recognition, and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level using specialized literature (Menezes et al. 2003; and references contained therein).

The information was entered into an excel spreadsheet after cross-validation, whereby one researcher reviews the information entered by another.

Fishery activity information

Since socio-economic data of the trawler fleet in the municipality were not systematically collected, engine power (Hp) data were initially obtained through a literature review of publications in scientific journals; unpublished data, and gray literature (thês and dissertations). Subsequently, detailed information collected by the Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária e Extensão Rural de Santa Catarina – EPAGRI (Company of Agriculture, Research, and Rural Extension of Santa Catarina) for 2011 (Everton Della Giustina and Daniela M. G. Nunes, unpublished data) revealed the low variation in engine power values over the years. Thus, an extrapolation was carried out proportionally for the other years, considering the total number of vessels operating each year (Table 1).

Data transformation

Total fuel consumption during fishing operations was estimated through the relationship between total trawling hours and total vessel engine power (Brazil 2011) using the following formula:

\[ FC_{ei} = TH_{ei} \times FHP \times HP_e \]

where \( FC_{ei} \) is the amount of oil, in liters, consumed by the e-eth trawl during the i-eth fishing trip; \( TH_{ei} \) is the time, in hours, the e-eth vessel spent trawling during the i-eth trip; \( FHP \) is the amount of oil, in liters, consumed per hour and per vessel engine horsepower (standard value at 0.0963 l/Hp); and \( HP_e \) is the power of the e-eth engine expressed in Hp.

The intensity of fuel use for each fishing trip FUI, was expressed by the following formula:

\[ FUI_i = \frac{FC_{ei}}{LC_i} \]

where \( LC_i \) is the nominal catch of the i-eth trip, in kg.

For the present study, the “carbon balance” was considered as the ratio between the amount of carbon removed from the marine environment vs the carbon emitted into the atmosphere from the consumption of diesel oil during fishing operations. Therefore, total catch and seabob shrimp catch separately for each trip (kg) were transformed into carbon units (\( C_i \)) using the following equation:

\[ C_i = \frac{LC_i \times CR}{1,000,000} \]

where CR refers to the biomass/carbon conversion rate, considered 9:1 (Pauly and Christensen 1995; Ziegler 2006; Ziegler and Valentinsson 2008; Fulton 2010; Port 2015; Port et al. 2016).

The fuel consumed on each fishing trip was converted into "standard energy units", defined as tEP, by which 1 toe = 45.2 x 10^-3 Tera-joules (TJ = 1,012 J) (Brasil 1999). The following equation described by Álvares Júnior and Linke (2002), Macêdo (2004) and Pinto and Santos (2004) was used:

\[ EC_{ei} = FC_{ei} \times Fconv \times 45.2 \times 10^{-3} \times Fcorr \]

where \( EC_{ei} \) is the energy dissipated by the e-eth vessel during the i-eth fishing trip, expressed in TJ; \( Fconv \) is the factor used to convert a certain amount of fuel into tEP, considering the “high heat value” (HHV) of the fuel, surveyed annually.
by the National Energy Balance of the Brazilian Ministry of Mines and Energy (EPE 2011). The value used of nautical diesel oil for 2010 was determined, namely 0.848 tEP/m$^3$. In contrast, $F_{corr}$ is the factor used to correct $F_{conv}$ from HHV to “low heat value” (LHV). This conversion was required to ensure energy contents estimated by the National Energy Balance were comparable to those recommended by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

$F_{corr}$ for solid and liquid fuels was set at 0.95 (Brazil, 2006). The amount of carbon emitted by oil consumption during the fishing trip was calculated using the following equation described by Álvares Júnior and Linke (2002), Macêdo (2004), and Pinto and Santos (2004):

$$CE_{ei} = EC_{ei} \times Femiss \times 10^{-3}$$

where $CE_{ei}$ refers to the carbon, expressed in Gigagrams (GgC = 1,000 ton of carbon), emitted by the $e$-eth vessel during an $i$-eth fishing trip; $F_{emiss}$ is the carbon emission factor, expressed in ton of carbon (tC), per TJ. For diesel oil, this value corresponds to 20.2 tC/TJ (IPCC 1996; Brasil 2006). The $10^{-3}$ multiplication was performed to express the value in GgC. The carbon balance for each fishing trip was expressed as a ratio $CE_{ei}/C_i$.

Finally, GgC values were converted to tons of carbon dioxide ($CO_2$), using the following equation described by Macêdo (2004):

$$ECO_2 = (CE_{ei} \times 44/12) \times 1000$$

Table 1. Source of engine power (Hp) data available for each year for the artisanal fleet of double-rig trawlers of Armação do Itapocoroy, municipality of Penha - SC, Brazil. Data from 2011 (EPAGRI, unpublished data) used for extrapolation and calculations of fuel consumption and intensity of use and carbon emission. (-) period without information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Engine Power (Hp) min</th>
<th>Engine Power (Hp) max</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fracasso (2002); Branco and Fracasso (2004); Campos (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fracasso (2002); Branco and Fracasso (2004); Campos (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fracasso (2002); Branco and Fracasso (2004); Campos (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Branco and Fracasso (2004); Bail and Branco (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Santos (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>EPAGRI (2011); Santos (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data analysis

Since the main purpose of this study was to obtain the aggregate estimates of fuel consumption and intensity of use, carbon emissions, and carbon energy balance for trawl fisheries in Itapocoroy, the transformed variables were grouped by year and for the entire study period.

RESULTS

During the study period (1996-2015), an annual average of 78 vessels were operating in the shrimp trawl fishery, with a minimum of 59 (2001) and a maximum of 96 (2015). The average power of vessel engines was 21 Hp (min = 10; max = 60 Hp). They operated 240 each year, with six trawls a day of one hour each. From 2004, the number of shrimp trawlers gradually increased (Figure 2).

Regarding biomass, the total catch estimate for the study period was 148,082 kg of fish (min = 2,906.5 kg in 2009; max = 12,528.2 kg in 1999). For the seabob shrimp, the total catch estimate was 19,002 kg (min = 45 kg in 2015; max = 3,418.2 kg in 2010), representing an average of 12.8% of the total catch (min = 1.0% in 2014; max = 35.5% in 2010) (Table 2). Although the number of vessels gradually increased from 2004, total and seabob shrimp catches did not increase (Figure 2).

Total catches peaked in 1999 (12,528.21 kg), followed by a gradual decline until 2009 (2,906.47 kg). Subsequently, in 2010 catches recovered with 9,626.72 kg landed, followed by another drop in catches. In contrast, seabob shrimp catches remained relatively stable from 1998, reaching a maximum in 2010 (3,418.18 kg), followed by a sharp decline, until reaching a negligible 44.97 kg in 2015 (Figure 2).

The approximate total fuel consumption was 3,909,000 l (min = 149,000 l in 2001; max = 247,000 l in 2015). As for intensity of fuel use, approximately 3,909,000 l of fuel (average = 26.4 l kg⁻¹ catch) was used for the estimated total of 148,082 kg fish landed (Table 2). Considering only the seabob shrimp, around 19,002 kg were landed with this same amount of fuel, averaging 206 l consumed for each kg of shrimp caught.

Regarding the amount of carbon emitted by this fleet over the years, the total was 2,875.22 GgC (min = 109.4 in 2001; max = 181.7 in 2015) (Table 2). For the carbon balance, the estimated total capture was 174,747.54 GgC (min = 95,883.68 in 1999; max = 476,445.3 in 2009). For the seabob shrimp alone, the total was
1,361,805.43 GgC (min = 419,763.45 in 2010; max = 36,354,339.35 in 2015) (Table 2). Finally, estimated total CO₂ emissions was 10,542.46 t, with a minimum of 401.03 t for 2001 and a maximum of 666.13 t for 2015 (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Although fisheries play an important role in supplying animal protein to the world's population, the relationship between total expenditure and income obtained during a fishing trip is often negative in some modalities. Moreover, accurate information on these operations required assessing negative impacts on the ecosystem beyond target species and bycatch, e.g., is not readily available for all fishing activities. In this regard, Branco and Fracasso (2004) identified 28 different species of crustaceans in the seabob shrimp fishery, mostly comprising immature individuals, which causes a negative impact on the benthic ecosystem and, consequently, on the activity as a whole.

Moreover, socioeconomic data is often collected for specific periods and, in most cases, only to characterize the fishing activity in particular, even in long-term projects. The data collected with such a specific methodology fails to reflect the actual, real-life events of fisheries, which involve high dynamism due to the constant inclusion of new equipment (including engines) and/or ways of operation. Since socioeconomic data, such as number of operating vessels, were not collected annually for the present study, calculations were based on the best available data set for the other years, provided by EPAGRI.

In general, only a slight variation in the total number of vessels operating in Armação do Itapocoroy was observed between 1996 and 2004. This number increased from 2004, probably due to government incentives for fishing fleets. More vessels, however, did not lead to an increase in total catches and seabob shrimp catches annually, as the largest catch occurred in 1999 (12,528.21 t of fish) with 74 trawlers. After 2004, when the number of vessels increased gradually, total catches did not exceed 9,626.72 t (2010), with an effort of 86 vessels. Port (2015) observed a similar trend for the industrial trawl fleet that lands in Santa Catarina, Brazil, and reported an increase in seabob shrimp landings from 2003 and a peak in landings in 2010, followed by a trend of declining catches which may be related to the over-exploitation of resources.

According to Tyedmers (2004), temporal variations in some elements, such as decreasing relative abundance of stocks and increasing size and power of vessel engines, directly contribute to changes in energy performance over time. In terms of industrial fishing, evidence shows that the impact of trawling on benthic ecosystems in southeastern and southern Brazil is directly related to overfishing, that is, catches above the maximum sustainable levels (Haimovici et al. 2006; Perez et al. 2009). According to Ostrom et al. (2007), however, over-exploitation and misuse of ecological systems are rarely attributed to a single cause and the use of simplistic and generalist solutions often increases problems rather than solve them. For Grafton et al. (2008) and Squires (2009), major challenges to this issue go beyond overfishing and include environmental, ecological, and biodiversity factors. In this respect, fisheries must consider management from the ecosystem standpoint since fishing affects trophic levels that are unrelated to the commonly targeted species (Pauly et al. 1998), such as those considered vulnerable, with low reproductive success rate, slow growth, and long-life cycle (Hall et al. 2000).

Results presented here were obtained from estimated total consumption and intensity of fuel use, amount of carbon emitted, carbon balance, and CO₂ emission. However, the calculated values may have been underestimated, given the difficulty in obtaining accurate estimates of fuel consumption for each of the fishing trips. In this regard, only the burning of fuel during the fishing operation was calculated, without considering navigation time from the port to fishing areas. According to Bail and Branco (2007), the navigation time ranges from 20 min to 1 h 30 min, which would certainly increase the consumption and emission values. This difficulty is also observed when assessing industrial fleets (Port et al. 2016), since, according to Notti et al. (2012), fuel consumption in this modality can be three times higher during the trawling operation than during navigation between ports and fishing areas.
Table 2. Information for each year (1996 - 2015) of number of operating vessels; estimated total nominal catch (Lei) and seabob shrimp <i>Xiphopenaeus kroyeri</i> catches, percentage (%) of seabob shrimp in relation to total catch; total fuel consumption (FCEi) (in liters); estimated fuel use intensity (FUIi), total and for seabob shrimp (in liters/kg); carbon emitted by fuel consumption (in GgC), estimated carbon balance (BC) for total catch and seabob shrimp catches (in GgC); and CO2 emission (ECO2) (in ton) for the artisanal double-rig trawl fleet of Armação do Itapocoroy, municipality of Penha - SC, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Vessel (n)</th>
<th>Lei Total (kg)</th>
<th>Shrimp %</th>
<th>FCEi (liters)</th>
<th>FUIi (l kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>CEEi (GgC)</th>
<th>BC Total (GgC)</th>
<th>Shrimp</th>
<th>ECO2 (t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7,321.40</td>
<td>236.6</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>181,460.37</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>766.95</td>
<td>133.47</td>
<td>116,073.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9,640.38</td>
<td>452.04</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>166,338.68</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>367.97</td>
<td>122.35</td>
<td>114,222.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>7,675.32</td>
<td>1,347.74</td>
<td>17.56</td>
<td>186,500.94</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>138.38</td>
<td>137.18</td>
<td>160,855.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>12,528.21</td>
<td>1,044.34</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>181,460.37</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>173.76</td>
<td>133.47</td>
<td>95,883.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9,842.03</td>
<td>898.77</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>153,737.26</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>171.05</td>
<td>113.08</td>
<td>103,406.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7,193.36</td>
<td>492.26</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>148,696.70</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>302.07</td>
<td>109.37</td>
<td>136,842.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8,093.64</td>
<td>1,834.03</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>176,419.81</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>96.19</td>
<td>129.76</td>
<td>144,296.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10,191.12</td>
<td>871.43</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>171,379.24</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>196.66</td>
<td>126.06</td>
<td>111,323.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6,144.41</td>
<td>818.69</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>176,419.81</td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>215.49</td>
<td>129.76</td>
<td>190,072.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6,129.60</td>
<td>1,058.73</td>
<td>17.27</td>
<td>183,980.66</td>
<td>30.02</td>
<td>173.78</td>
<td>135.33</td>
<td>198,697.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6,270.83</td>
<td>820.94</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>189,021.22</td>
<td>30.14</td>
<td>230.25</td>
<td>139.03</td>
<td>199,543.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5,665.91</td>
<td>678.38</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>196,582.07</td>
<td>34.70</td>
<td>289.78</td>
<td>144.59</td>
<td>229,681.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>5,706.77</td>
<td>1,411.62</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>201,622.64</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>142.83</td>
<td>148.30</td>
<td>233,884.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2,906.47</td>
<td>248.34</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>209,183.49</td>
<td>71.97</td>
<td>842.34</td>
<td>153.86</td>
<td>476,445.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>9,626.72</td>
<td>3,418.18</td>
<td>35.51</td>
<td>216,744.34</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>63.41</td>
<td>159.43</td>
<td>149,046.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7,310.72</td>
<td>436.62</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>216,744.34</td>
<td>29.65</td>
<td>496.42</td>
<td>159.43</td>
<td>196,263.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8,061.67</td>
<td>1,367.58</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>229,345.75</td>
<td>28.45</td>
<td>167.70</td>
<td>168.69</td>
<td>188,329.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5,698.67</td>
<td>160.89</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>234,386.32</td>
<td>41.13</td>
<td>1,456.82</td>
<td>172.40</td>
<td>272,276.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>7,348.71</td>
<td>1,359.78</td>
<td>18.50</td>
<td>241,947.17</td>
<td>32.92</td>
<td>177.93</td>
<td>177.96</td>
<td>217,952.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4,725.90</td>
<td>44.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>246,987.73</td>
<td>52.26</td>
<td>5,491.67</td>
<td>181.67</td>
<td>345,973.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>148,081.84</td>
<td>19,001.93</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>3,908,958.90</td>
<td>26.40</td>
<td>2,875.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the study period (1996-2015), the artisanal fleet of Armação do Itapocoroy consisting of around 78 vessels per year with engine power between 10 and 60 Hp landed 148,082 t of fish, of which 19,002 ts were seabob shrimp. These total landed biomass values account for 0.019% of the annual average landed by industrial trawling in Santa Catarina, which operated from 2003 to 2011 with an average of 358 vessels per year with engine power between 107 and 750 Hp (Port et al. 2016). In the same period, this artisanal fleet consumed 3,908,958.90 l of fuel, representing 1.24% of the annual average of the entire industrial trawl fleet of Santa Catarina between 2003 and 2011 (Port et al. 2016). Although this percentage seems small when compared to the industrial fleet, it should be stressed that artisanal trawl fishing communities were scattered throughout the state of Santa Catarina resulting in very high fuel consumption values.

The energy efficiency of the artisanal fleet of Armação do Itapocoroy proved to be very low. In the study period, approximately 26.4 l of fuel were consumed per kilogram of fish landed. Considering only the target species Xiphopenaeus kroyeri, the resulting energy efficiency is 205.71 t⁻¹ of landed shrimp. In contrast, the Santa Catarina industrial trawl fleet proved much more efficient, with 413 l of fuel per ton of fish landed (Port et al. 2016). Furthermore, the low energy efficiency calculated in this study is striking when compared with the efficiency recorded in Sweden by Ziegler and Hansson (2003) and Tyedmers (2004), totaling 1,410 l t⁻¹; on a global average and in European fisheries recorded by Degnbol (2009), ranging from 640 and 4,710 l t⁻¹ of fish landed; in Japan by Furuya et al. (2011), ranging from 280 to 1,500 l t⁻¹; and a worldwide average recorded by Tyedmers et al. (2005), totaling 620 l of fuel per ton of fish landed.

The energy efficiency of the trawl fleet is generally deficient, because of the behavior of the variability patterns of stock captures (aggregations and distances to fishing areas) and the significant drag force produced during fishing operations, which require a great power of engine and high fuel consumption (Wileman 1984; Tyedmers 2004). For the Armação do Itapocoroy fleet, as well as for several others throughout the country, the trawling activity has only remained economically viable due to the existence of a constant incentive from the government through a fuel subsidy policy consisting of total tax exemption for the acquisition of oil. Furthermore, the economic sustainability of this fishery is strongly related to the sale of the various species of fish caught.

Fuel consumption of the artisanal trawl fleet resulted in average annual carbon emission of 0.144 GgC and 527.123 t CO₂ into the atmosphere. These figures represent 1.25% of the average annual values of carbon and CO₂ emissions of the industrial trawl fleet of Santa Catarina (Port et al. 2016). As mentioned earlier, the number of fishing communities scattered throughout the state should be acknowledged.

Average per year carbon emissions from the artisanal fleet were 174,750 GgC for each GgC of total biomass landed; moreover, a great imbalance was observed between the amount of carbon emitted and removed resulting from total catches, indicating that the energy efficiency of this modality is poor due to high fuel consumption, among other factors (Wileman 1984; Tyedmers 2004). According to Azevedo et al. (2014), fuel consumption can amount to between 61.1 % and 74.9 % of the operating costs of the seabob shrimp fishing fleet, which reinforces the importance of carrying out specific and detailed studies on the behavior of this input.

Variables included in the list of impacts caused by this fishing activity can support more assertive decision-making for fisheries management and help define public management policies that consider economic, social, and environmental impacts.

Although artisanal fishing fleets, in particular trawling, consist of small vessels, their negative environmental impacts should not be overlooked, either due to the removal of considerable volumes of biomass, which in the case of trawling is aggravated by the lack of selective gear, or due to the emission of carbon and other greenhouse gases.

Therefore, fisheries must also be characterized according to fuel consumption and their relationship with the landed biomass to understand the real negative impacts beyond the catch of target or incidental species, among other factors. This characterization would support the
creation of public policies for fisheries management and species conservation, both to maintain stocks and to minimize/neutralize emissions resulting from the use of fossil fuels.

Finally, the negative balance between carbon emission and removal reinforces the urgent need to develop selective technologies for trawl fishing gear. This measure would enhance the economic feasibility of the activity through the cost-yield ratio and would effectively reduce the capture of non-target species that play a fundamental ecological role in the balance of ecosystems.
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